

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,899	10/10/2000	Tomoko Maeda	197679US0PCT	6173	
22850 7	590 04/22/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			AFREMOVA, VERA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/646,899**

Applicant(s)

Maeda et al.

Examiner

Vera Afremova

Art Unit **1651**



1	The MAILING DATE f this communication appears	on the cover she	t with	the correspondence address	
	or Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing	date of this communication.				
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the leriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Notes application to become	MONTHS for BANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 6, 20	03			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🗓 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pair$			· ·	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 20-41			is/are pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		·	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 💢	Claims <u>20-41</u>	are :	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	d or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	d in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	ion.	•	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗴	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	ł.		
:	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in App	lication No	
	3. \(\nextbf{X}\) Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bureau the application of the certified Coffice and the application of the certified Coffice and	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a}}.	·	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•			
14)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
_		priority under 3	.c.u c.	C. 33 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Application (PTO-152)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-19 were are canceled by applicants in the Paper No. 10 filed 2/06/2003.

New claims 20-41 are pending [Paper No. 10] and subject to restriction requirement.

Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 20-24, drawn to a method for producing osteoclast precursor cell.

Group II, claim(s) 25, drawn to an osteoclast precursor cell.

Group III, claim(s) 26-36, drawn to a method for producing an osteoclast.

Group IV, claim(s) 37, drawn to an osteoclast cell.

Group V, claim(s) 38 and 39, drawn to a method for screening an agent for treating metabolic bone disease by using osteoclast precursor cell.

Group VI, claim(s) 40, drawn to a method for screening an agent for treating metabolic bone disease by using osteoclast cell.

Group VII, claim(s) 41, drawn to an agent for treating metabolic bone disease associated with osteoclast resorption activity.

Art Unit: 1651

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The instant application contains pending claims drawn to more than one of permissible combination of invention categories. For example: the claimed inventions of groups II, IV and VII are directed to three distinct products such as an osteoclast precursor cell, an osteoclast cell and an agent for treating metabolic bone disease. The claimed inventions of groups I, III, V and VI are directed to distinct methods of making distinct products and using distinct products.

Furthermore, the "special technical features" or the technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art, is known in the art. For example: the cellular products such as an osteoclast precursor cell and an osteoclast cell are taught by WO 96/07733 [reference N, part of paper No. 3] (see abstract or see page 29, lines 32-34). Thus, the unity of inventions is broken.

³⁷ CFR1.475. Unity of invention before the International Searching Authority, the International Preliminary Examining Authority and during the national stage.

⁽a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

⁽b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

⁽¹⁾ A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

⁽²⁾ A product and a process of use of said product; or

⁽³⁾ A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or

⁽⁴⁾ A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

⁽⁵⁾ A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

⁽c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,899

Art Unit: 1651

(d) If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims,

see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c).

(e) The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

The second secon

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention,

the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (703) 308-9351. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00 to 5:30. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be

reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Vera Afremova

Art Unit 1651

April 14, 2003

VERA AFREMOVA

Page 4

PATENT EXAMINER

SANDRA E. SAUCIER PRIMARY EXAMINER